

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 17, 1999 LR 21

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, I mean if the Legislature does not submit an amendment to the people, which they vote to adopt, does the constitution change as interest rates change?

SENATOR HARTNETT: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you're asking us to put in steel, not just stone, but in steel a provision that is going to deal with a situation that will vary, from to time, based on factors over which the Legislature has no control. Is that true?

SENATOR HARTNETT: That's a good interpretation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if interest rates went down, we would still have this provision in the constitution?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hartnett, do you think that is a wise and prudent manner of dealing with the constitution?

SENATOR HARTNETT: It seemed like a reasonable idea to me, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm asking, do you think it's wise?

SENATOR HARTNETT: I think it's...yes, maybe it's wise.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Members of the Legislature, not only when it comes to the constitution, but to statutes, I'm very unwilling to address the momentary needs of a specific operation, individual, or group of individuals...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...where that situation they face is going to change. Why should we amend the constitution in this manner? I would have wished, not hoped, but wished that the committee would not have advanced an amendment such as this. In listening to the discussion, I have not been shown a compelling or even significant reason to amend the constitution in this manner. And I propose to continue the discussion.